What's New in Stone Management?

Frank Keeley





Disclosures

Boston Scientific
Cook Urological
Olympus Medical

BJU International

Home	Read BJUI »	Blogs@BJUI	BJUI Tube »	Learning »	BJUI Charity »	Submit Content »	About BJUI »





Is Anything Truly New?

There's nothing new under the Sun Or is there?

What's New-- Overview

- Management of renal colic
- Technology
- Techniques
- Trends in treatment
- What's next?



What's New: Renal Colic

- Explosion of literature
- Alpha blockers
- Calcium-channel blockers
- Steroids
- Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
- Proliferation of 'meta-analyses'
- Not one definitive trial...yet

What's New: Technology

For ureteroscopy,
Scopes
Sheaths
Baskets
For PCNL, lithotrites
Cost



Timeline of Technology

- 1980 First practical ureteroscope
- 1985 Semi-rigid fiberoptic ureteroscope
- 1986 Flexible, non-deflectable ureteroscope
- 1988 Flexible, deflectable ureteroscope
- 1993 7.5 F flexible ureteroscope
- 1994 Holmium laser lithotripsy
- 1998 Nitinol baskets, graspers
- 2005 Digital semi-rigid ureteroscope
- 2008 Digital flexible ureteroscope





Narrow Band Imaging



2 discrete bands of light

Improved detection by 25%

 Problem: 10F scope, limited maneuverability

Traxer 2011; Meyer 2011



White light

Second-Generation: URF-V2

- **8.4**F
- Same image
- Easier access
- Better maneuverability
- 275 degree deflection
- Lesson learned: just when you think things can't get any better...



Ureteral Access Sheaths

Indications: When basket extraction of fragments planned Large stones where prolonged irrigation needed



Which Lithotrite for PCNL?

Ultrasound
Pneumatic
Combination
Cyberwand
Laser

Lithoclast Master

Excellent for clearing large stones, soft or hard

Cumbersome & challenging for nursing staff







What Does It Cost?

Operating time
Flexible ureteroscopes + repair
'One-use only' items added up to more than scopes
...but only if you take care of them

Collins, Keeley, Timoney BJUI 2004









30















Lessons Learned

 Flexible ureterorenoscopy was once carried out in a few centers by pioneering urologists

- Now the technology has overcome most obstacles & allows for widespread use
- ...but the urologist still needs expertise and experience

What's New: Techniques

- Flexible ureteroscopy: sheaths & lasers
- Mini- and micro-PCNL
- Prone vs. supine

Ureteral Access Sheath

- Advantages
- Decreased intrarenal pressure
- Improved irrigation flow
 → improved visibility
- Enables re-entry
- Extraction of fragments

Ureteral Access Sheath

- Disadvantages
- Ischemia
- Increased risk of ureteral stricture
- Stent placement postprocedure



Holmium Laser Lithotripsy Ideal Settings

With increasing pulse energy:Increased retropulsionIncreased fragment size

Sea J, et al. J Urol 2012

Holmium Laser Lithotripsy Ideal Settings

Standard setting of 0.2 J and 50 Hz
 Smaller fragments
 Less retropulsion
 Requires 100 W laser



Lasering in Kidney

Decide Dusting vs Fragmenting/basketing
Dusting

Access sheath optional

- Fragmenting/Basketing
 - Access sheath useful

Fragmenting/basketing in Kidney

Ideal conditions for basketing

- Small renal calculus
- Stones that don't easily fragment
 - Ca Ox monohydrate





Dusting in Kidney

Ideal conditions for dusting

- Stones that easily fragment

 Ca Ox dihydrate
- Large renal calculi
- Multiple renal calculi
- Upper or mid calyceal stone





What's New in PCNL?PCNL is getting smaller...

- Standard sheath size = 30F
- Mini-PCNL = 16-18F

- Ultra mini PCNL = 11-13F
- Micro PCNL = 4.85F







Supine Access

Advantages

- Lower anesthetic risk (obese, high risk patient)
- Decreased operative time
- Permits combined retrograde/antegrade surgery

- Difficult (impossible?) upper pole access
- Decreased visualization due to gravity on irrigant
- Limited mobility of instruments
- Increased colon injury risk





Bottom Line...

I...do what you do consistently and often enough to do it well.

Prone vs. Supine: CROES Study

- Op time lower for prone (82.7 vs 90.1 min)
- Stone-free rate higher (77.0% vs 70.2%)
- More blood transfusions (6.1% vs 4.3%)
- More post-op fever (11.1% vs 7.6%)

Fewer failed procedures (1.5% vs 2.7%)

Upper Pole Access

- Advantages:
- Stone in upper calyces
- Multiple lower and interpolar calyces
- Single access for staghorn calculi
- Upper pole calyceal diverticulum
- Horseshoe kidneys

- Disadvantages:
- Pleural Complications
- Increased postoperative pain
- Interference from ribs
- Hepatic/Splenic Injuries

CROES: Upper Pole Access

- Over 4000 patients
- Upper pole access associated with:
- Higher stone burden and complexity
- Higher complication rate
- Longer op time
- Longer hospital stay
- Lower stone-free rate

What's New: Trends

- Ureteral stones: from SWL to URS
- Lower pole renal calculi: from SWL to FURS and mini-PCNL and micro-PCNL
- Large or staghorn calculi: Upper pole access for PCNL
- Patient involvement in decision making

Decision-Making and Informed Consent in Stone Disease

'I have a lithotriptor / nephroscope / flexible ureteroscope.'
'You have a stone.'
'Get on the table.'
'It will be painless!'

Patient Satisfaction in Stone Disease

All of our patients were satisfied with the treatment they received.'

Peschel, Janetshek, Bartsch. J Urol 1999

What's Next?

- Quality of life/patient reported outcome measures
 Definitive trial on colic (SUSPEND)
- ...on ureteral stones (TISU)
- ...on small kidney stones (TBA)

Take-Home Messages

Not many truly new developments in stone disease...

...but much improvement in technology and dissemination of good practice
Poor evidence for what we do...
...but better evidence is on the way